	Case 1:09-cv-01053-LJO-BAM Documer	t 733	Filed 03/15/13	Page 1 of 3						
1	COUNSEL IDENTIFICATION ON FINAL PAGE									
2										
3										
4										
5										
6										
7										
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT									
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA									
10	CONSOLIDATED SALMONID CASES:	CAS	SE NO. 1:09-CV-	1053-LJO-BAM						
11 12	SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA WATER AUTHORITY, et al. v. LOCKE, et al.	1:09	Consolidated Cases: 1:09-CV-1090-LJO-DLB							
13	STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT v. NOAA, et al.	- 1:09-CV-1378-LJO-SMS 1:09-CV-1520-LJO-SMS 1:09-CV-1580-LJO-DLB								
14 15	STATE WATER CONTRACTORS v. LOCKE, et al.	1:09-CV-1625-LJO-SMS								
16 17	KERN COUNTY WATER AGENCY, et al. v. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, et al.	SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENT OF NON-OPPOSITION TO THE JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND THE REMAND PERIOD								
18	OAKDALE IRRIGATION DISTRICT, et al. v. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, et al.									
19		Judg	e: Hon. Lawren	nce J. O'Neill						
20	THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA V.									
21	NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE, et al.									
22		J								
23										
24 25										
23 26										
20 27										
27										
	CASE NO. 1:09-CV-1053-LJO-BAM									

1

Case 1:09-cv-01053-LJO-BAM Document 733 Filed 03/15/13 Page 2 of 3

1 The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, the State Water Contractors, Kern 2 County Water Agency and the Coalition for a Sustainable Delta (collectively "State Contractor 3 Plaintiffs") do not oppose the Federal and State Proposal for Modification to the Delta Biological 4 Opinion Remand Schedule (Doc. 713-1, Ex. A) ("Proposal") as further described in the 5 Supplement to Federal and State Proposal for Modification to the Delta Biological Opinion 6 Remand Schedules and Alternative Process for Development of Operational Strategies and a 7 Collaborative Science and Adaptive Management Program (Doc. 731-2, Attachment 1) 8 9 ("Supplement"). This statement of non-opposition is based on our understanding of the language 10 and intent of the Proposal and Supplement, as reflected in our prior Statement of Non-Opposition 11 (Doc. 724), which we incorporate by reference. 12 Given the extent of the additional filings submitted to the Court and the limited time 13 available to review them, the State Contractor Plaintiffs take no position with respect to the 14 documents and declarations filed by the Federal parties. 15 16 17 Dated: March 15, 2013 **MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP** 18 By: /s/ William M. Sloan 19 CHRISTOPHER J. CARR WILLIAM M. SLOAN 20 TRAVIS BRANDON 21 Attorneys for Plaintiff THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT 22 OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA 23 24 25 26 27 28 2

	Case 1:09-cv-01053-LJO-BAM	Documen	t 733	Filed 03/15/13	Page 3 of 3	
1	Dated: March 15, 2013	BEST	r best	Г & KRIEGER I	LLP	
2						
3		By:	/s/ G	<u>regory K. Wilkins</u> ORY K. WILKIN	on ISON	
4		2]	STEVE MELIS	EN M. ANDERSO SA R. CUSHMA	ON	
5				EN G. MARTIN		
6		2	STATE	eys for Plaintiff E WATER CONT	RACTORS	
7	Dated: March 15, 2013	NOSS	SAMA	N LLP		
8						
9		By:	/s/ Pa PAUL	aul S. Weiland S. WEILAND		
10					KERN COUNTY	
11			WATE SUSTA	AGENCY and AINABLE DELTA	KERN COUNTY COALITION FOR A	Α
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23 24						
24 25						
23 26						
20 27						
27						
	CASE NO. 1:09-CV-1053-LJO-BAM					3